(3)

C.S. 407

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1776 OF 2004

PETITIONERS :

1. Chandra Kishore Pandey, S/O Shri Hamkar Prasad Pandey, Aged about 36 years, R/O Chotemuth Para, Sarangarh, District Raigarh (C.G.).

2. Chaitram Kahar, S/O Shri Kashi Prasad Kahar, Aged about 36 years, R/O Jaijaipur, District Janjgir – Champa (C.G.).

3. Bharatlal, S/O Shri Awadh Ram, Aged about 37 years, R/O Kashigarh, Sakti, District Janjgir – Champa (C.G.).

4. Ganshyam Rawat, S/O Konda Rawat, Aged about 36 years, R/O Sarangarh, District Raigarh (C.G.).

P. R. No. 1766/04
Presented by Shri Sanjeev Rehar
dated 26-6-04

25/6/04

RECEIVED COPY
26/6/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Department of Transport, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Building, Raipur, Tehsil & District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

2. Chhattisgarh Infrastructure Development Corporation, D.K. Square, Near Mantralaya, Raipur, Tehsil and District Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

3. Unit Incharge, Chhattisgarh Infrastructure

(4)

Development Corporation, Durg, Office at Old M.P.S.R.T.C. Depot Durg, Tehsil and District Durg (Chhattisgarh).

4. Madhya Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation, Through its Managing Director, Habib Ganj, Bhopal, Tehsil and District Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(38)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1736 सन् / 2004

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	07.07.2004 Shri Sameer Behar, counsel for the petitioner. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to non-availability of job. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a month, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P.No.1589/2001 also stands disposed of. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	
Pathak/-		

[पीछे देखिये

13.7 02.02.16